ISSN: 2583-3286(Online)

Comparison of Different Techniques of PAPR Reduction Schemes of OFDM System

Anurag Tiwari, Mustaqueemuddin, Vaibhav Tyagi, Sushmita Siddharth, Vishal Gupta
Department of Electronics and communication Engineering, Inderprastha Engg. College Ghaziabad, India

Abstract: **This paper present an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing is a form of multi carrier modulation technique. A brief review of peak - to – average power ratio (PAPR) reduction technique, High PAPR of the transmit signal is a major drawback of multi carrier transmission such as OFDM. This article describes sum of the PAPR reduction technique for multicarrier transmission including amplitude clipping and filtering coding, partial transmission sequence, selected mapping. Also, v factor makse sum remark on the criteria for PAPR reduction technique. The advantages and disadvantages in comparison to other modulation technique are also discussed.**

Keywords- BER , OFDM, PTS, PAPR

I. INTRODUCTION

With the ever growing demand of this generation, need for high speed communication has become an utmost priority. Various multicarrier modulation techniques have evolved in order to meet these demands, few notable among them being code division (CDMA) and (OFDM). A large number of closely space orthogonal sub-carrier is used to carry data. The data is divided into several parallel streams of channels, one for each sub-carrier. Each sub-carrier is modulated with a conventional modulation scheme(such as QPSK) at a low symbol rate, maintaining total data rates similar to the conventional single carrier modulation schemes in the same bandwidth OFDM is a special form of multicarrier modulation. This is particularly suited for transmission over a dispersive channel.

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) is a multi- carrier modulation scheme for high data rate mobile communication system because of its vigour to frequency selective fading, high spectral efficiency and low computational complexity. Recently OFDM system has been getting across the board consideration. Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing can be used in conjunction with multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) method to enhance diversity gain and/or the system capacity by exploiting spatial domain. MIMO-OFDM is viewed as a key innovation in rising high data-rate communication system, including digital subscriber lines (DSL), IEEE 802. l1, IEEE 802.16 and IEEE 802.15.3a, give a course to 4G technology that support high mobile data rates. The primary advantages of utilizing MIMO-OFDM technique, which can diminish the recipient unpredictability and manage the multipath fading effectively, incorporate high spectral efficiency and robustness against narrow band. The fundamental points of interest of utilizing MIMO-OFDM procedures, which can diminish the recipient intricacy and manage the multipath fading effectively, incorporate high spectral efficiency and strength against narrowband interference, uniform average spectral density, capacity of dealing with exceptionally solid echoes, less non-linear distortion and efficient implementation. Notwithstanding, the principle limitation of utilizing MIMO-OFDM endures with the problem of high PAPR and carrier frequency offset sensitivity [6]. Subsequently, it is imperative to decrease the PAPR; otherwise, high power amplifier (HP A) in the transmitter need to have a linear region that is substantially bigger than the average power, which makes them costly and wasteful. This is because if HPA with a linear region somewhat more noteworthy than the average power is utilized, the saturation brought about by the vast peak will result in inter modulation distortion, which expands the bit error rate (BER) and causes spectral widening, bringing about adjacent channel interference. A number of PAPR reduction techniques have been proposed to handle this problem. One of the most widely used strategies is Clipping and Filtering, DFT Spreading and Partial Transmit sequence (PTS), utilizing probabilistic techniques [3]. The guideline of probabilistic strategy relies on upon decreasing the likelihood of high PAPR by creating several OFDM symbols (multiple candidates) conveying a similar information and choosing the one having the most minimal PAPR [2]. The probabilistic technique can likewise be classified into two systems: sub block partitioning methodology and entire block procedure. The sub block partitioning procedure, for example, partial transmit sequence (PTS) [8-9], separates frequency domain signals into several sub blocks. On the other hand, the entire block Strategy, for example, Clipping and Filtering, DFT Spreading, [5–8] consider the whole block for creating numerous candidates. To begin with, the entire block procedure of the probabilistic strategies to create numerous candidates is viewed as, and then the probability Distribution Function (PDF) for the candidate having the reduced APR is chosen, the PDF of the amplitude of a selected OFDM symbol becomes a function of the number of candidates [1-4]. All through this paper the fundamental rule of every one of these procedures has been portrayed. The determination of any of the PAPR reduction technique may be at the cost of PAPR reduction ability, synchronization between the transmitter and the receiver. The absence of the PAPR reduction techniques will cause the increase in the transmit power, bit error rate at the receiver, the data rate loss, and the computational complexity. Here, through simulation result the performance of PTS and Clipping and

Date of Submission: 01 June 2022
Accepted on 11 September 2022
Corresponding Author: Vishal Gupta
(Email: vishal.gupta@ipec.org.in)

ISSN: 2583-3286(Online)

Filtering, DFT Spreading based PAPR reduction techniques for these techniques in view of different parameters has shown. The main drawback in PTS and SLM technique is that the information about the phase factor is also required to send along with the data signals as a side information (SI) for successful recovering of the original data signals at the receiving end. But it results in the loss of data rate and bandwidth efficiency [5]. For successful operation of SLM or PTS scheme, SI is very critical and it is to be protected using suitable channel coding scheme. But it will further increase data rate loss and complexity of the system.

II. PRINCIPLE OF REDUCING PAPR

An OFDM signal includes various independently modulated sub-carriers, which can give a considerable PAPR when added up coherently. At the point when N signals are added with the similar phase, they generate a peak power that is N times the average power of the signal. So OFDM signal has a substantial PAPR, which is sensitive to non-linearity of the high power amplifier. PAPR is a historic issue in the advancement of the Wireless communication, the more PAPR of OFDM the more prerequisites and difficulties for executing the HPA. However the PAPR is computed from the peak amplitude of the waveform divided by the average value of waveform as follows:

$$PAPR\{x(n)\} = 10log_{10}\frac{\max\limits_{0\le n\le N-1}[|x(n)|^2]}{E[|x(n)|^2]}$$

Despite the fact that the probability of the largest PAPR to happen is not high, but rather to send the high PAPR of OFDM signal with no distortion, the probability increases. All the linearity in High Power Amplifier (HPA) and A/D converter ought to meet the necessities said above [1-3]. Since the hardware that meets these necessities is exceptionally costly, therefore, it is extremely requesting and essential to reduce PAPR in OFDM system.

III. PAPR AND ITS REDUCTION IN OFDM SYSTEM

A block diagram of OFDM system is delineated in Fig.1. The OFDM flag comprises of a sum of subcarriers that are modulated by phase shift keying (PSK) or Quadrature Amplitude modulation (QAM). To start with, the serial input data stream is arranged into N group of bits, where Nc is the number of subcarriers. The number of bits in each of the Nc group decides the constellation size for that specific subcarrier. The mapped complex symbols are then serial-to-parallel (S/P) converter and oversampled by a factor L resulting in a block NcL complex symbols. The complex discrete time base band OFDM signal can be expressed as



Figure 1: Block diagram of OFDM system

The above power attributes can likewise be portrayed regarding their magnitude (not power) by defining the crest factor (CF) as: $CF = \sqrt{PAPR}$

In the PSK/OFDM system with N subcarriers, the most extreme power happens when the greater part of the N subcarrier components happen to be added with same phase. Assuming that E{|Xn|2} = 1. It result in PAPR = N, that is the maximum power equivalent to N times the average power. It has been noticed that when M-QAM is greater than 4 times M-PSK, the PAPR will be large in this case. The Probability distribution of Xn will follow after the Gaussian distribution. The amplitude of OFDM signal has a Rayleigh distribution with zero mean and a variance of N times the variance of one complex sinusoid. Let {Zn} be chance to be the extents of complex samples. Accepting that the average power of complex pass band OFDM signal Xn is equivalent to one, the {Zn} are the standardized Rayleigh arbitrary variable with its own average power, which has the probability density function [4-9] as shown below:

IV. PARTIAL TRANSMIT SEQUENCE

The PTS technique is based on phase shifting of sub-block of data and then multiplication of data structure by random vectors. This technique is flexible and efficient for OFDM framework. A block diagram of PTS technique is shown in figure 2. In partial transmit sequence [12] the input data block of N symbols is further divided into V disjoint sub- blocks. The input data block can be written in form of XV as: Each partitioned sub-block is multiplied by a corresponding complex phase factor bv= ejΦv, v = 1,2,….V then taking its IFFT to yield. Where Xv represent the partial, transmit sequence. The value of phase vector is selected in such a manner that the value of resulted PAPR is minimized [12]. This is shown as 3. Then the corresponding time domain signal with the lowest PAPR can be expressed as .In general the reduction in search complexity largely depends upon the selection of phase factor which is limited to a set of elements. Therefore search complexity is directly proportional to the number of sub-block.

V. CLIPPING AND FILTERING

High PAPR is a standout amongst the most widely recognized issues in OFDM. A high PAPR brings about limitations like increased complexity of the ADC and DAC and furthermore decreased efficiency of radio frequency (RF) power amplifier. One of the straightforward and, viable PAPR reduction strategies is clipping, which cancels out the signal component that exceed some constant amplitude called clip level. In any



Figure 2: A Block Diagram of PTS Technique

ISSN: 2583-3286(Online)

case, clipping yields distortion power, which is called clipping noise, and expand the transmitted signal spectrum, which causes interference. Clipping is nonlinear process and causes in-band noise distortion, which causes degradation in the performance of bit error rate (BER) and out-of-band noise, which decrease the spectral efficiency [12]. Clipping and filtering technique is powerful in eliminating components of the expanded spectrum. Although filtering can diminish the spectrum development, filtering subsequent to clipping can decrease the out-of- band radiation, yet may likewise bring about some peak re-growth, which the peak signal exceed in the clip level. The strategy of iterative clipping and filtering diminishes the PAPR without spectrum expansion. However, the iterative signal takes long time and it will increase the computational complexity.

VI. SELECTIVE MAPPING

In this scheme, firstly M statistically independent input data symbols which represent the similar information are generated and then each sequence are processed by M parallel N-point complex IFFT to generate M different time- domain OFDM symbols. The symbol with the minimum PAPR is chosen for transmission. The key point of SLM method lies in how to generate multiple divergent time-domain OFDM symbols when the input datafor transmission is the same. For this purpose, M pseudo-random phase rotation sequences.

This method can be seen as performing a dot product operation on the input tones with rotation factor. In practice, all the elements of the phase sequence are set to 1 to as to make this branch sequence the original OFDM symbol. A block diagram of SLM scheme is shown in Figure 5 [13]. The selected phase sequence should be transmitted to receiver as side information to allow the recovery of original symbol sequence at the receiver which decreases the data transmission rate.



Figure 3:. Block diagram SLM technique

VII. COMPARISION

A brief comparison of various PAPR reduction techniques has been depicted in below. It is clear from table, that none of the prior-art techniques have the entire desired feature: very large CCDF (PAPR) reduction, very low implementation complexity, and no loss of capacity, no increase of transmit power, and so forth.

Reduction Scheme	Implementation Complexity	Data RateLoss	Power Increase	BER Degradation
Clipping& Filtering	MEDIUM-HIGH	NO	NO	YES
Selective Mapping (SLM)	VERY-HIGH	YES	NO	NO
Partial Transmit Sequence (PTS)	VERY-HIGH	YES	NO	YES
Tone Reservation (TR)	VERY-HIGH	YES	YES	NO
Tone Insertion (TI)	VERY-HIGH	YES	YES	YES

TABLE I: Various PAPR reduction techniques

VIII. CONLUSION

OFDM is a remarkably attractive strategy for multicarrier transmission and has turned out to be one of the standard decisions for rapid speed transmission over a communication channel. It has various advantages; but has one noteworthy drawback, it has a high PAPR. In this paper, a few numbers of the techniques for decreasing the high PAPR of the OFDM system were analyzed and compared. Among the techniques that were analyzed, it was found that, no particular PAPR reduction strategy is the best solution for the OFDM framework. Different parameters like loss in rate, transmit signal power increment, BER increase, computational complexity increment should to be taken into consideration before choosing the appropriate PAPR technique.

REFERENCES

[1] Y.Wu and W. Y. Zou, “Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing: A multi-carrier modulation scheme,” IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronics, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 392–399, Aug. 1995.

[2] SeungHee Han, Jae Hong Lee “An Overview Of peak-To-Average Power Ratio reduction Techniques For Multicarrier transmission,” IEEE Wireless Communication., pp. 56–65, April 2005.

[3] C. L. C. L. Wang and Q. Y. Yuan, “Low-complexity selected mapping schemes for peak-to-average power ratio reduction in OFDM systems,” IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4652–4660, Dec. 2005.

[4] Bauml, R.M., Fisher, R.F.H., and Huber, J.B. “Reducing the PAPR of multicarrier modulation by selective mapping. Electron .Lett”, Vol. 32, no. 22, pp. 2056-2057.Cooper,Allen(1979). TheABCofNFT. London: GrowerBooks. ISBN 0958673500,1996.

[5] S. H. Han and J. H. Lee, “PAPR reduction of OFDM signals using a reduced complexity PTS technique,” IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 887–890, Nov. 2004.

[6] Yang Zhou and Tao Jiang “A Novel Multi-Points Square Mapping Combined With PTS to Reduce PAPR of OFDM Signals Without Side Information,” IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, vol. 55, no. 4, Dec. 2009.

[7] Taub H., Schilling D.L., Saha G., Principles of Communication Systems, 3rd Ed., TataMc-Graw Hill India, 2008.